

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

-

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Responding in a private capacity

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

Yes

Comments:

Permission for a less period than 56 days may well be appropriate

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

No

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Other

Comments:

Needs watching with care.

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

Other

Comments:

It may vary from one place to another.

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

Yes

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No

Comments:

They should be reviewed at intervals. Those intervals may vary from one place to another, but in general there should be a review every five years or so.

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Other

Comments:

One worries about road safety. In any case where the roadway is used for eating out, the road should be closed to traffic for the duration of the feasting.
Dustbin lorries and deliveries by road will have to take place outside the permitted hours of eating.

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

Undue noise upsetting the neighbours

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No Response

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No Response

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

No Response

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

Yes

Comments:

But that is not to be taken as permitting traps to be set for wild birds.

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

No Response

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

No Response

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

I worry slightly about permitted development to older buildings which have not qualified for Listed status.

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

████████████████████

